



- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The Price of Freedom is Eternal Vigilance

Print Post Publication Number 10000815

Vol. 55 No. 28

19<sup>th</sup> July 2019

#### IN THIS ISSUE

European New World Order By Richard Miller	1
For All Lovers of Decentralisation By James Reed	2
New Zealand Gun Control: How is that One Going? By John Steele	3
Children Consenting to Vaccination Without Parental Consent By GMI Reporter (GreenMedInfo.com)	4

**THOUGHTS OF THE WEEK:** “*MANACLES FOR MANKIND* has shown how the idea of World Government attracts Communists, ex-Communists and the common run of Leftwingers like a baleful magnet. A typical example to hand is a pamphlet. "The Pursuit of Peace," by the late John Strachey, published by the Fabian Society, 11 Dartmouth Street, London, S.W.

Mr Strachey who, before the war, was decidedly pro-Communist later wished to see a strong Britain commanding political, economic and military strength so that she could pursue a foreign policy directed towards the emergence of a World Authority. This he said, would constitute an almost **revolutionary** break with any foreign policy ever pursued. He saw the beginnings of such a World Authority in UNO, whose interventions in emergencies he described as ‘surprisingly effective’(sic).

If there are any cats left tempted to laugh at this, let them remember that it is an axiom of contemporary world politics that the prestige of UNO grows in direct proportion to its failure to solve any major international crisis. Let them remember that treason is in the air and that there are those in high places who subscribe to this policy and who, whether they realize it or not, will in effect transfer their allegiance from Her Majesty the Queen and the British Parliament to a faceless cabal acting on and through what cannot fail to be a Communist World Government.”

*Manacles for Mankind - An analysis of UNO's championship of Human Rights* By Mark Ewell, 1964, p.94.

#### EUROPEAN NEW WORLD ORDER By Richard Miller

Here, by way of summary are the latest moves of the European elites to create a United States of Europe, even while the present USA is falling apart. The one world dream is a delusion deriving from the same source as communism, but perhaps more powerful given the dark money interests always behind it.

<https://www.gatestoneinstitute.org/14503/european-union-towards-superstate>

- German Defense Minister Ursula von der Leyen, nominated to be the next President of the European Commission, has called for the creation of a European superstate. ‘My aim is the United States of Europe...’ she said in an interview with Der Spiegel. She has also called for the creation of a European Army.
- Belgian Prime Minister Charles Michel, nominated to be the next President of the European Council, has said that Eastern European countries opposed to burden-sharing on migration should lose some of their EU rights. He is also a strong proponent of the Iran nuclear deal.
- Spanish Foreign Minister Josep Borrell, nominated to replace Federica Mogherini as High Representative of the Union for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy, is a well-known supporter of the mullahs in Iran. Borrell has also said that he hopes Britain will leave the EU because it is an impediment to the creation of a European superstate.
- International Monetary Fund Managing Director Christine Lagarde, nominated to be the next President of the European Central Bank, has supported U.S. President Donald J. Trump's trade war with China. “President Trump has a point on intellectual property. It is correct that nobody should be stealing intellectual property to move ahead.... On these points clearly the game has to change, the rules have to be respected.”
- “The best cure for Europhilia is always to observe the EU's big beasts at their unguarded worst... unencumbered by any attachment to democracy, accountability or even basic morality... [W]e witnessed rare footage of the secretive process that propels so many retreats and second-rate apparatchiks into positions of immense power in Brussels and Frankfurt, utterly disregarding public opinion.... Everything that is wrong with the EU was shamelessly on display.” — Allister Heath, *The Telegraph*.”

\*\*\*

## HERE GOES THE CHURCH OF ENGLAND! By Peter West

Love, compassion, diversity, all truly amazing things. Just ask the ever-vibrant, vibrating, Church of what was once, England:

<https://www.breitbart.com/europe/2019/07/07/>

[church-england-will-not-challenge-same-sex-marriage-spouse-changes-gender/](https://www.breitbart.com/europe/2019/07/07/church-england-will-not-challenge-same-sex-marriage-spouse-changes-gender/)

‘The Church of England has confirmed that it will not challenge the “same-sex” marriages of its parishioners if a spouse changes gender after marrying. Currently, the church’s teaching is that marriage is between a man and a woman, however the Bishop of Newcastle the Right Reverend Christine Hardman confirmed on Thursday that it would not challenge a marriage

between a couple if one of them became transgender after the marriage had been performed, reports *The Times*. “If a couple wish to remain married after one partner has transitioned, who are we to put them asunder?” Hardman said, adding: “When a couple marry in church they promise before God to be faithful to each other for better for worse, for richer for poorer, in sickness and in health, come what may, although we preach compassion if they find this too much to bear.”

Compassion ... yawn. In fact, there is nothing the church can do about it anyway since the law says it is legal, so they are powerless and irrelevant. \*\*\*

## FOR ALL LOVERS OF DECENTRALISATION By James Reed

Here we love decentralisation, and believe that small is beautiful. For entertainment purposes, here is an article outlining all of the micro states in Europe, only some of which I had previously heard of. Delightful; when are we going there? Then again, why not build your own country?

<https://attackthesystem.com/2019/07/02/how-to-build-your-own-country/>

“If you’d like to live in a country that caters to your values and lifestyle, why not build your own? Nearly half the earth’s surface is a blue frontier over which no country holds sovereignty, and start-up cities that float permanently in international waters will soon be economically feasible as construction materials get cheaper, greener and printable in 3D form. These will be homesteads on the high seas — or seasteads.

Joe Quirk By 2020, *Blue Frontiers*, our for-profit spinoff from *The Seasteading Institute*, a nonprofit research and advocacy organization, plans to provide fresh jurisdictions on floating sustainable islands designed to adapt organically to sea level change. These will be privately financed and built by local

maritime construction firms employing the latest in sustainable blue tech. We’ve already raised our seed round of investments to perform research and secure legislation, so get ready for the next wave of nations. Of course, the need for seasteads could not be greater. Americans are fed up with their government — in a recent *Washington Post-ABC News* poll, nearly two-thirds of Americans reported that they trust neither the Democratic or Republican establishment to represent them. But this isn’t a new sentiment. America’s founders were also fed up with their government. The New World served as a platform where political innovators could experiment with unconventional ideas. As new states and territories were established piecemeal across the frontier, they became incubators for novel ideas of governance — eventually shaping the country we have today.”

Our own country, based upon an artificial island constructed in the southern oceans ... yes, it is something worth dreaming about as a plan C. It cheers me up, and gives also the warm and fuzzy feeling. \*\*\*

## THE DAM PLAN FAILURE By Viv Forbes

“The Malcolm Turnbull Murray Darling Basin plan has failed and must be abolished.

“We need to change the way we manage what is our most abundant renewable resource, and the only obstacle to this is politics.

“The immediate action must be to stop wasting water on environmental flows that are doing more harm than good. Then we need to build more dams and weirs; and restore the mouth of the Murray.

“If we do these things, there will be plenty of water for all MD basin needs and the environment will benefit hugely (in stark contrast to the scorched earth policy currently in play).”

“Federal government meddling in state matters has made a huge mess of the electricity business and water management. The way out of these messes is to reverse the way we got in.”

Some Background:

<https://saltbushclub.com/category/watch-lists/water-watch/>  
Below is a graphic description of the problem caused by bumbling politicians, some of whom do not even mean well for Basin residents:

<https://quadrant.org.au/opinion/doomed-planet/2019/07/how-the-murray-went-up-the-creek/>.

\*\*\*

PLEASE PASS THIS ON AS WIDELY AS POSSIBLE. OR USE AS A BASIS FOR YOUR OWN ARTICLES.

Our future is at stake and action is now urgently needed.

## NEW ZEALAND GUN CONTROL: HOW IS THAT ONE GOING? By John Steele

With all the media fanfare about gun control in the land of the long white, well maybe not white, cloud, how is gun banning going? Any problems, or are things doing a John Howard?

<https://reason.com/2019/07/08/>

[noncompliance-kneecaps-new-zealands-gun-control-scheme/](#)

“Once again, responding to a horrendous crime by inflicting knee-jerk, authoritarian restrictions on innocent people proves to be an ineffective means of convincing people to obey. Specifically, New Zealand's government—which also stepped up censorship and domestic surveillance after bloody attacks on two Christchurch mosques earlier this year—is running into stiff resistance to new gun rules from firearms owners who are slow to surrender now-prohibited weapons and will probably never turn them in. Officials should have seen it coming.

“Police are anticipating a number of people with banned firearms in their possession won't surrender them,” *Stuff* reported at the end of May, based on internal government documents. As of last week, only around 700 weapons had been turned over. There are an estimated 1.5 million guns—with an unknown number subject to the new prohibition on sem-automatic firearms—in the country overall. Traditionally relaxed in its approach to firearms regulation, and enjoying a low crime rate, New Zealand has no firearms registration rule. That means authorities have no easy way of knowing what guns are in circulation or who owns them.

“These weapons are unlikely to be confiscated by police because they don't know of their existence,” Philippa Yasbek of Gun Control NZ admitted: “These will become black-market weapons if their owners choose not to comply with the law and become criminals instead.”

Yasbek's organization advocates registering all guns in private hands. But that won't help with gathering guns already in the possession of owners appalled by the government's attack on the rights of innocent people—government attacks, it's worth noting, that come in

response to the crimes of one man who explicitly anticipated just such a response.... that gun owners would, in large numbers, defy restrictions should have been anticipated by anybody who knows the history of government attempts to disarm their subjects—or who just glanced across the Tasman Sea to Australia.

“In Australia it is estimated that only about 20% of all banned self-loading rifles have been given up to the authorities,” wrote Franz Csaszar, professor of criminology at the University of Vienna, after Australia's 1996 compensated confiscation of firearms following a mass murder in Port Arthur, Tasmania. Csaszar put the number of illegally retained arms in Australia at between two and five million.

“Many members of the community still possess grey-market firearms because they did not surrender these during the 1996–97 gun buyback,” the Australian Criminal Intelligence Commission conceded in a 2016 report. “The Australian Criminal Intelligence Commission continues to conservatively estimate that there are more than 260,000 firearms in the illicit firearms market.”

Just as Australian police named “outlaw motorcycle gangs, Middle Eastern organised crime groups, and other groups engaged in trafficking illicit commodities such as drugs” as beneficiaries of the prohibition-fueled black market in firearms, underground organizations are similarly poised to prosper in New Zealand. Gangs in the island nation announced very loudly after the new legislation was introduced that they wouldn't be surrendering their own weapons.

“Will gangs get rid of their weapons? No,” one prominent gang leader told *Stuff*. “Because of who we are, we can't guarantee our own safety.” So Kiwis who actually do comply with the confiscation scheme will put themselves at a disadvantage relative to violent gangs that don't intend to obey.”

It is interesting that there are so many illegal guns in Australia, when the mainstream keeps telling us that Johnny Howard's gun ban was the crowning glory of his career. \*\*\*

## CONSTITUTIONAL RECOGNITION FOR ABORIGINES By Ken Grundy

The news on July 10th revealed that “Both major parties are to support a referendum to determine recognition of Aborigines in the Commonwealth Constitution”. The Morrison Government plans to hold the referendum during the current three year term.

Whatever happened to the Coalition leader who led the election campaign in May? Many of us believed him to be a genuine alternative to Mr Shorten from the ALP. It now appears that our PM, Mr Scott Morrison's differences were limited to the taxation area. Our Constitution, perhaps in a strange way, recognises many

groups. Already covered are the English, the Scots, the Irish, eastern and western Europeans, the Greeks, the Italians and others. All of these people who have become naturalised Australians are rightly included in the Constitution as “Australians” which of course is the fitting category for all people born in Australia, including the Aborigines and me. Our Constitution is “Inclusive” and needs no amendment!

A better system could not be devised. Any attempt at nominating specific groups will lead to instant division. \*\*\*



# CHILDRENS VACCINATION WITHOUT PARENTAL CONSENT By GMI Reporter

From a recent *Greenmedinfo.com* article –  
<https://www.greenmedinfo.com/blog/urgent-bill-strips-parents-vaccine-choice-allows-kids-consent-any-age-oppose-dist>  
The District of Columbia Municipal Regulations  
Washington D.C. Hearing on June 26th:

B23-0171 would add a new section into the existing District of Columbia Municipal Regulations that would allow a minor child of any age to consent to receive a vaccine where the minor is capable of meeting the informed consent standard and where the vaccination is recommended by the US Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices. According to this proposal, a minor shall be deemed meeting the informed consent standard if the minor is able to comprehend the need for, the nature of, and any significant risks ordinarily inherent in the medical care. B23-0171 eliminates parental rights by removing the requirement that vaccine administrators obtain the voluntary, informed consent of a minor child's parent in order for the child to be vaccinated.

B23-0171 blatantly ignores the existing intent of other laws and regulations putting parents in charge of vaccination decisions including section providing the legal right of the parent to claim a medical or religious exemption to vaccination.

B23-0171 places vaccines in a special category to allow legally unaccountable individuals to persuade a minor child to get vaccinated without the knowledge or consent of the parent.

Unlike medical, school or other personnel administering vaccines, parents are legally accountable and financially responsible for the health care and education of a minor child when that child experiences a vaccine reaction and becomes chronically ill or disabled. Vaccines are pharmaceutical products

## LETTER TO THE EDITOR

We need a very clear explanation indeed from the Prime Minister as to why he has changed his mind from last September, when he "described a constitutionally enshrined indigenous voice to parliament as unworkable and a third chamber of parliament" ("Wyatt wants to create a voice without shouting", 11/7). In the meantime there is nothing in the Minister for Indigenous Australians' latest speech that effectively out-argues the three most important objections to any kind of constitutional amendment favouring indigenous Australians, who are an ill-defined group at best.

These are its danger, through its inherent divisiveness, to our national security and internal stability, and its inequitable dealing with non-indigenous Australians (the huge majority of us). The government's refusal to face reality is indeed "a significant backward step" ("Voice to Parliament carries the same risks it did two years ago", 11/7). *Nigel Jackson*, Belgrave, Vic. \*\*\*

ON TARGET

that carry a risk of injury or death. The U.S. federal Vaccine Injury Compensation Program has awarded more than 44 billion to vaccine victims since 1988 but two of three vaccine injured plaintiffs are turned away without financial support.

Both the National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986 and U.S. Supreme Court Decision (*Bruesewitz v. Wyeth*) guarantee that vaccine manufacturers, doctors and other vaccine administrators have no legal accountability or financial liability in civil court when a government recommended or mandated vaccine(s) causes permanent injury or death.

By consenting to a vaccine, a child alone is acknowledging that they have abdicated their constitutional right to a trial by jury should they become injured.

Also, this one-sided proposal actually fails at implementing informed consent rights for the child because it does not equally permit a child to use their same application of their comprehension of risks and benefits to refuse a vaccine their parents have previously chosen for their child to receive.

The legal right of parents to give their informed consent for minor children to take medical risks, which can result in injury or death, trumps the goals of health agencies or vaccine corporations and medical organizations, whose employees, stockholders or members profit or professionally benefit from increased, widespread vaccine use. \*\*\*

*Ed– Recently Dr Mercola reported that NY has now done away with conscientious objection.*

**Vale Kevin** – on 4th July in the tributes in the *Herald Sun* was info that **Kevin Bernard John Keogh** passed away on the 29th June 2019.

*He was born and raised in South/Port Melbourne where he lived all his 91 years. Kevin will be dearly missed and lovingly missed by his family and friends. Kevin was an enthusiastic worker in the early days of the League. He helped print, wrap and distribute the journals. He was one of Eric Butler's 'foot-soldiers'.*

**Subscription to On Target \$45.00 p.a.**

**NewTimes Survey \$30.00 p.a.**

and **Donations** can be performed by **bank transfer:**

A/c Title Australian League of Rights (SA Branch)

BSB 105-044

A/c No. 188-040-840

or by cheques directed to:

'Australian League of Rights (SA Branch)'

**On Target** is printed and authorised by **K. W. Grundy**  
13 Carsten Court, Happy Valley, SA.

**Postal Address: PO Box 27, Happy Valley, SA 5159.**

**Telephone: 08 8387 6574 email: [heritagebooks@alor.org](mailto:heritagebooks@alor.org)**

**Head Office Hours - Mon., Tues., Wed. 09.00am - 3.00pm**